arXiv:1701.06736v1 [math.MG] 24 Jan 2017

AREA MINIMIZING DISCS IN LOCALLY NON-COMPACT METRIC SPACES

CHANG-YU GUO AND STEFAN WENGER

Abstract. We solve the classical problem of Plateau in every metric space which is 1-complemented in an ultra-completion of itself. This includes all proper metric spaces as well as many locally non-compact metric spaces, in particular, all dual Banach spaces, some non-dual Banach spaces such as L^1, all Hadamard spaces, and many more. Our results generalize corresponding results of Lytchak and the second author from the setting of proper metric spaces to that of locally non-compact ones. We furthermore solve the Dirichlet problem in the same class of spaces. The main new ingredient in our proofs is a suitable generalization of the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem.

1. Introduction and statement of main results

The classical problem of Plateau is concerned with the existence of surfaces of disc-type with minimal area and prescribed Jordan boundary in Euclidean space. This problem has a long and rich history for which we refer for example to [4]. The first rigorous solution for arbitrary Jordan curves in Euclidean space was given independently by Douglas [5] and Radó [22]. This solution was extended to a large class of Riemannian manifolds by Morrey [19]. Recently, Lytchak and the second author solved the classical problem of Plateau in the setting of arbitrary proper metric spaces in [16]. Recall that a metric space is proper if all its closed bounded subsets are compact. Before the paper [16] only few results beyond the setting considered by Morrey existed, see [20], [18], [21]. The existence and regularity results proved in [16] have had applications to problems in several fields, see [13], [14], [17]. The purpose of the present note is to solve the classical Plateau problem as well as the Dirichlet problem in a class of metric spaces which includes many that are not locally compact.

For a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, a complete metric space X, and $p > 1$ we denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ the space of Sobolev maps from Ω to X in the sense of Reshetnyak [23]. The Reshetnyak energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ is denoted $E^p_+(u)$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain then $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ has a trace, written $\mathrm{tr}(u)$, which belongs to $L^p(\partial\Omega, X)$. Let D be the open unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The parametrized Hausdorff area of $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Area}(u)$. In [16] the authors introduced a notion of Q-quasiconformality for maps $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$. This is similar to but different from the notion of quasiconformal maps used in geometric function theory. We refer to Section 2 for the definitions related to Sobolev maps mentioned above and for references.

We turn to our main results and first introduce the class of spaces for which we can solve the classical Plateau problem. We refer to Section 2 for the notion of a

Date: January 25, 2017.

Research partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grants 153599 and 165848.

non-principal ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$ and the definition of the ultra-limit $\lim_\omega a_m$ of a bounded sequence (a_m) of real numbers. Let (X, d) be a metric space and ω a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Denote by X_ω the set of equivalence classes $[(x_m)]$ of sequences (x_m) in X satisfying $\sup_m d(x_1, x_m) < \infty$, where sequences (x_m) and (x'_m) are identified if $\lim_\omega d(x_m, x'_m) = 0$. The metric space obtained by equipping X_ω with the distance $d_\omega([(x_m)], [(x'_m)]) = \lim_\omega d(x_m, x'_m)$ is called the ultra-completion or ultra-product of X with respect to ω. Clearly, X isometrically embeds into X_ω via the map which assigns to x the equivalence class of the constant sequence (x).

Definition 1.1. *A metric space X is said to be* 1*-complemented in some ultra-completion of X if there exists a non-principal ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$ for which there is a* 1*-Lipschitz retraction from X_ω to X.*

Our first main result can now be stated as follows. Given a Jordan curve $\Gamma \subset X$ we denote by $\Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ the possibly empty family of maps $v \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ whose trace $\mathrm{tr}(v)$ has a continuous representative which is a weakly monotone parametrization of Γ.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complete metric space and Γ a Jordan curve in X such that $\Lambda(\Gamma, X) \neq \emptyset$. If X is* 1*-complemented in some ultra-completion of X then there exists $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Area}(u) = \inf\{\mathrm{Area}(v) : v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)\}$$

and u is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal.

The class of spaces which are 1-complemented in some ultra-completion includes all proper metric spaces, all dual Banach spaces, some non-dual Banach spaces such as L^1, furthermore all Hadamard spaces and injective metric spaces, see Proposition 2.1. Recall that a Hadamard space is a complete metric space which is CAT(0), that is, has non-positive curvature in the sense of Alexandrov. Our theorem thus applies to all these spaces and, in particular, for example to all L^p spaces. It generalizes [16, Theorems 1.1 and 10.2] and [20]. Exactly as in [16], the quasiconformality constant $\sqrt{2}$ is optimal but can be improved to 1 for metric spaces satisfying a certain property (ET) which, roughly speaking, excludes non-Euclidean normed spaces as weak tangents.

We record the following special case.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a Hadamard space or a dual Banach space and $\Gamma \subset X$ a rectifiable Jordan curve. Then there exists $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Area}(u) = \inf\{\mathrm{Area}(v) : v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)\}$$

and u is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal.

Since Hadamard spaces and Banach spaces admit a quadratic isoperimetric inequality in the sense of [16], the regularity results in [16] imply that any u as in the corollary has a locally Hölder (resp. Lipschitz in the case that X is a Hadamard space) continuous representative which extends continuously to the boundary S^1.

We can solve the Dirichlet problem in the same class of metric spaces:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a complete metric space, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, and $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ for some $p > 1$. If X is* 1*-complemented in some*

ultra-completion of X then there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ with $\operatorname{tr}(u) = \operatorname{tr}(w)$ *and such that*

$$E^p_+(u) = \inf \left\{ E^p_+(v) : \ v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X) \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(v) = \operatorname{tr}(w) \right\}.$$

The theorem furthermore holds with the Reshetnyak energy $E^p_+(u)$ replaced by the Korevaar-Schoen Dirichlet energy $E^p(u)$ defined in [11]. The theorem generalizes for example [15, Theorem 2.3] and [11, Theorem 2.2]. For regularity results for solutions of Dirichlet's problem in the metric space setting we refer for example to [11] and [15] and the references therein.

The main new ingredient in the proofs of our results above is the following generalization of the Rellich-Kondrachov compactess theorem from the setting of proper metric spaces to that of arbitrary metric spaces. In what follows a sequence $(u_m) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ will be called bounded if

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d(x_0, u_m(z))^p \, dz + E^p_+(u_m) \right] < \infty$$

for some and thus every $x_0 \in X$. For $p > 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the Sobolev conjugate of p by $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$ if $p < n$ and $p^* = \infty$ otherwise.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, and $(u_m) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ a bounded sequence for some $p > 1$. Then, after possibly passing to a subsequence, there exist a complete metric space Z, isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X \hookrightarrow Z$ and $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, Z)$ such that $\varphi_m \circ u_m$ converges to v in $L^q(\Omega, Z)$ for every $q < p^*$.*

A more general statement, which applies to sequences of metric spaces, will be given in Section 3. Convergence in $L^q(\Omega, Z)$ means that

$$\int_\Omega d(\varphi_m \circ u_m(z), v(z))^q \, dz \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$. It is not difficult to see that the limit map v can be viewed as a map to the ultra-completion X_ω for any ω, see Lemma 2.2. The proof of the theorem is similar to that for proper metric spaces but, in addition, uses a variant of Gromov's compactness theorem for sequences of metric spaces.

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are similar to the proofs of the corresponding results for proper metric spaces but they rely on Theorem 1.5 instead of the Rellich-Kondrachov compactess theorem for proper metric spaces. We finally mention that Theorem 1.2 also holds with the parametrized Hausdorff area replaced by the parametrized area induced by any quasi-convex definition of area in the sense of convex geometry, see Section 4.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall the necessary definitions concerning ultra-completions of metric spaces and Sobolev maps from a Euclidean domain to a complete metric space. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.1 which implies Theorem 1.5. In Sections 4 and 5 we combine Theorem 1.5 with the arguments in [16] and [15] to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. In Section 4 we furthermore obtain an analog of Theorem 1.2 with area replaced by energy.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Basic notation.** The Euclidean norm of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $|v|$ and the open unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $D = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |v| < 1\}$. We write $\overline{D}$ for the closure of D and S^1 for its boundary.

Let X be a metric space. A Jordan curve in X is a subset of X homeomorphic to S^1. A curve of finite length is called rectifiable. Let $\Gamma \subset X$ be a Jordan curve. A map $\gamma\colon S^1 \to \Gamma$ is called a weakly monotone parametrization of Γ if γ is a uniform limit of homeomorphisms $\gamma_i\colon S^1 \to \Gamma$. For $m \geq 0$ the m-dimensional Hausdorff measure on X is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^m$. The normalizing constant is chosen in such a way that $\mathcal{H}^m$ coincides with the m-dimensional Lebesgue measure on Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^m$. The Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is denoted by $|A|$.

2.2. **Ultra-completions of metric spaces.** We briefly recall the relevant definitions concerning ultra-completions and ultra-limits of metric spaces. We refer for example to [3] for details.

A non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ is a finitely additive probability measure ω on $\mathbb{N}$ such that every subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is measurable and such that $\omega(A)$ equals 0 or 1 for all $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega(A) = 0$ whenever A is finite. Given a compact Hausdorff topological space (Z, τ) and a sequence $(z_m) \subset Z$ there exists a unique point $z_\infty \in Z$ such that $\omega(\{m \in \mathbb{N} : z_m \in U\}) = 1$ for every $U \in \tau$ containing z_∞. We denote the point z_∞ by $\lim_\omega z_m$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and ω a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. A sequence $(x_m) \subset X$ is called bounded if $\sup_m d(x_1, x_m) < \infty$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on bounded sequences in X by considering (x_m) and (x'_m) equivalent if $\lim_\omega d(x_m, x'_m) = 0$. Denote by $[(x_m)]$ the equivalence class of (x_m). The ultra-completion X_ω of X with respect to ω is the metric space given by the set

$$X_\omega := \{[(x_m)] : \ (x_m) \text{ bounded sequence in } X\},$$

equipped with the metric

$$d_\omega([(x_m)], [(x'_m)]) := \lim_\omega d(x_m, x'_m).$$

Ultra-completions are sometimes called ultra-products in the literature. The ultra-completion X_ω of X is the ultra-limit of the constant sequence (X, x_0) with respect to ω for some fixed $x_0 \in X$.

The ultra-completion X_ω of X is a complete metric space, even if X itself is not complete. Notice that X isometrically embeds into X_ω via the map $\iota\colon X \hookrightarrow X_\omega$ given by $\iota(x) := [(x)]$.

We now show that the classes of metric spaces mentioned after Theorem 1.2 satisfy Definition 1.1.

Proposition 2.1. *The class of metric spaces X which are* 1*-complemented in every ultra-completion of X includes:*

(i) *Proper metric spaces.*
(ii) *Hadamard spaces.*
(iii) *Injective metric spaces.*
(iv) *Dual Banach spaces.*
(v) *Banach spaces which are* 1*-complemented in some dual Banach space.*

A metric space X is said to be 1-complemented in some metric space Y if X isometrically embeds into Y and if there exists a 1-Lipschitz retraction from Y to X.

This explains the terminology used in (v). Particular examples of spaces satisfying (v) are given by L-embedded Banach spaces, see [7], which includes L^1-spaces. A metric space X is called injective if X is 1-complemented in every metric space into which X embeds isometrically. We refer for example to [12] for properties of injective metric spaces.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and ω a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. If X is proper then the map ι is surjective. In particular, X is 1-complemented in X_ω, which proves (i). If X is a Hadamard space then so is X_ω and the orthogonal projection from X_ω to X is 1-Lipschitz since X is a closed convex subset of X_ω, see [3]. This proves (ii). If X is an injective metric space then X is 1-complemented in X_ω by the definition of injectivity. This yields (iii). Let now X be a dual Banach space. Closed balls of finite radius in X are weak*-compact by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem and the norm on X is weak*-lower semi-continuous. Thus, the map $P\colon X_\omega \to X$ given by $P([(x_n)]) := \lim_\omega x_n$ is well-defined and 1-Lipschitz. This proves (iv). The same argument works for (v). □

We end this subsection with the following easy observation which will be used in the proofs in Sections 4 and 5. It shows that the limit map ν appearing in Theorem 1.5 can be viewed as a map to an ultra-completion of X.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a set, X a metric space, and X_ω an ultra-completion of X. Let $x_0 \in X$ and let $f_m\colon A \to X$ be maps, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there exist a metric space Z, isometric embeddings $\varphi_m\colon X \hookrightarrow Z$, and a map $g\colon A \to Z$ such that $(\varphi_m(x_0))$ is a bounded sequence in Z and $\varphi_m \circ f_m$ converges to g pointwise on A. Then the map $\psi\colon g(A) \to X_\omega$ given by $\psi(g(a)) := [(f_m(a))]$ for $a \in A$ is well-defined and an isometric embedding.*

Proof. We denote the distance on X and Z by d and d_Z, respectively. Fix $z_0 \in Z$ and notice that $\sup_m d_Z(z_0, \varphi_m(x_0)) < \infty$. For $a \in A$ we have

$$d(x_0, f_m(a)) = d_Z(\varphi_m(x_0), \varphi_m(f_m(a))) \le d_Z(z_0, \varphi_m(x_0)) + d_Z(z_0, \varphi_m(f_m(a)))$$

for all m. Since the right-hand side in the above inequality is bounded in m it follows that $(f_m(a))$ is a bounded sequence in X. Let $a, a' \in A$. Then

$$d(f_m(a), f_m(a')) = d_Z(\varphi_m(f_m(a)), \varphi_m(f_m(a'))) \to d_Z(g(a), g(a'))$$

as $m \to \infty$, which implies that ψ is well-defined and an isometric embedding. □

2.3. **Metric space valued Sobolev maps.** We briefly review the main definitions concerning Sobolev maps from a Euclidean domain to a metric space which will be used in the present paper. We refer for example to [16] for details. There exist several equivalent definitions of Sobolev maps from Euclidean domains with values in a metric space, see e.g. [1], [11], [23], [8], [2]. Here, we recall the definition from [23] using compositions with real-valued Lipschitz functions.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $p > 1$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. We denote by $L^p(\Omega, X)$ the set of measurable and essentially separably valued maps $u\colon \Omega \to X$ such that for some and thus every $x \in X$ the function $u_x(z) := d(x, u(z))$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$, the classical space of p-integrable functions on Ω. A sequence $(u_m) \subset L^p(\Omega, X)$ is said to converge in $L^p(\Omega, X)$ to a map $u \in L^p(\Omega, X)$ if

$$\int_\Omega d(u_m(z), u(z))^p \, dz \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$. A map $u \in L^p(\Omega, X)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ if there exists $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that for every $x \in X$ the function u_x belongs to the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and has weak gradient bounded by $|\nabla u_x| \leq g$ almost everywhere. The Reshetnyak p-energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ is defined by

$$E^p_+(u) := \inf \left\{ \|g\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} \;\middle|\; g \text{ as above} \right\}.$$

There exist other natural definitions of energy of a Sobolev map, for example the well-known Korevaar-Schoen Dirichlet energy $E^p(u)$ defined in [11].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. The trace of a Sobolev map $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ is defined as follows. Let $J = (-1, 1)$ and $I = (-1, 0)$. Given $x \in \partial\Omega$ there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of x, an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and a biLipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi \colon V \times J \to U$ of x such that $\varphi(V \times I) = U \cap \Omega$ and $\varphi(V \times \{0\}) = U \cap \partial\Omega$. For $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-almost every $v \in V$ the map $t \mapsto u \circ \varphi(v, t)$ is in $W^{1,p}(I, X)$ and thus has an absolutely continuous representative, again denoted by $u \circ \varphi(v, \cdot)$. For $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-almost every point $z \in U \cap \partial\Omega$ the trace of u at z is defined by

$$\operatorname{tr}(u)(z) := \lim_{t \to 0^-} u \circ \varphi(v, t),$$

where $v \in V$ is such that $\varphi(v, 0) = z$. By [11, Lemma 1.12.1] the definition of $\operatorname{tr}(u)$ is independent of the choice of φ. Since $\partial\Omega$ can be covered by a finite number of biLipschitz maps it follows that $\operatorname{tr}(u)$ is well-defined $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{tr}(u)$ is in $L^p(\partial\Omega, X)$ by [11, Theorem 1.12.2], the definition of $L^p(\partial\Omega, X)$ being analogous to that of $L^p(\Omega, X)$.

As was shown in [9] and [16], every Sobolev map $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ has an approximate metric derivative at almost every point $z \in \Omega$ in the following sense. There exists a unique seminorm on $\mathbb{R}^n$, denoted $\operatorname{ap\,md} u_z$, such that

$$\operatorname{ap}\lim_{z' \to z} \frac{d(u(z'), u(z)) - \operatorname{ap\,md} u_z(z' - z)}{|z' - z|} = 0,$$

where $\operatorname{ap}\lim$ denotes the approximate limit, see [6]. If u is Lipschitz then the approximate limit can be replaced by an honest limit.

We recall the following two definitions from [16].

Definition 2.3. *The parametrized (Hausdorff) area of a map $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ is defined by*

$$\operatorname{Area}(u) := \int_D \mathbf{J}(\operatorname{ap\,md} u_z)\, dz,$$

where the Jacobian $\mathbf{J}(s)$ of a seminorm s on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is the Hausdorff 2-measure in $(\mathbb{R}^2, s)$ of the Euclidean unit square if s is a norm and $\mathbf{J}(s) := 0$ otherwise.

It follows from the area formula [10], [9] that if $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ satisfies Lusin's property (N) then

$$\operatorname{Area}(u) = \int_X \#\{z : u(z) = x\}\, d\mathcal{H}^2(x).$$

Area and energy are related by $\operatorname{Area}(u) \leq E^2_+(u)$ for every $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$. We mention that one can associate to any definition of area μ (in the sense of convex geometry) a parametrized area Area_μ for Sobolev maps by replacing the Jacobian with respect to the Hausdorff measure used above by the Jacobian with respect to μ, see [16].

Definition 2.4. *A map $u \in W^{1,2}(D, X)$ is called Q-quasiconformal if for almost every $z \in D$ we have* $\operatorname{ap\,md} u_z(v) \leq Q \cdot \operatorname{ap\,md} u_z(w)$ *for all $v, w \in S^1$.*

If u is Q-quasiconformal then $E^2_+(u) \le Q^2 \cdot \mathrm{Area}(u)$, see [16, Lemma 7.2].

3. Generalized Rellich-Kondrachov theorem

In this section, we prove the following theorem which generalizes Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let (X_m, d_m) be a complete metric space, $K_m \subset X_m$ compact, and $u_m \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X_m)$. Suppose that (K_m, d_m) is a uniformly compact sequence and*

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d_m(x_m, u_m(z))^p \, dz + E^p_+(u_m) \right] < \infty \tag{1}$$

for some and thus every $x_m \in K_m$. Then, after possibly passing to a subsequence, there exist a complete metric space Z, isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X_m \hookrightarrow Z$, a compact subset $K \subset Z$ and $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, Z)$ such that $\varphi_m(K_m) \subset K$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi_m \circ u_m$ converges to v in $L^q(\Omega, Z)$ for every $q < p^*$.

Recall that a sequence of compact metric spaces (B_m, d_m) is called uniformly compact if $\sup_m \operatorname{diam} B_m < \infty$ and if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every B_m can be covered by at most N balls of radius ε.

The proof of the theorem is similar to that of [2, Theorem 5.4.3] but uses, in addition, the following variant of Gromov's compactness theorem for sequences of metric spaces established in [24, Proposition 5.2].

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X_m, d_m) be a sequence of metric spaces and, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, subsets*

$$B^1_m \subset B^2_m \subset B^3_m \subset \cdots \subset X_m.$$

If for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence (B^k_m, d_m) is uniformly compact then, after possibly passing to a subsequence, there exist a complete metric space Z, isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X_m \hookrightarrow Z$ and compact subsets $Y^1 \subset Y^2 \subset \cdots \subset Z$ such that $\varphi_m(B^k_m) \subset Y^k$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X_m embeds isometrically into an injective metric space we may assume that X_m is itself injective. Indeed, every metric space X isometrically embeds into the Banach space $\ell^\infty(X)$ of bounded functions on X with the supremum norm and $\ell^\infty(X)$ is injective. Now, there exists a non-negative function $h_m \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $\|h_m\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \cdot E^p_+(u_m)$ for some constant C only depending on Ω and p and such that

$$d_m(u_m(z), u_m(z')) \le |z - z'| \cdot (h_m(z) + h_m(z'))$$

for all $z, z' \in \Omega$, see e.g. [16, Proposition 3.2] and its proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$A^k_m := \{z \in \Omega : h_m(z) \le k\}$$

and notice that the restriction of u_m to A^k_m is $2k$-Lipschitz.

Lemma 3.3. *There exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $u_m(A^k_m) \subset B(K_m, \lambda k)$ and $A^k_m \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \ge k_0$.*

Here, $B(K_m, \lambda k)$ denotes the set of all $x \in X$ for which there exists $y \in K_m$ with $d(x, y) < \lambda k$.

Proof. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, fix $x_m \in K_m$ and define $C_m^k := \{z \in \Omega : d_m(x_m, u_m(z)) \le k\}$. By Chebyshev's inequality and (1) there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|\Omega \setminus A_m^k| \le k^{-p} \int_\Omega h_m^p(z)\, dz \le M \cdot k^{-p} \tag{2}$$

and $|\Omega \setminus C_m^k| \le M \cdot k^{-p}$ for all m and k. Thus, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such $A_m^k \cap C_m^k \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \ge k_0$. Fix $z_0 \in A_m^k \cap C_m^k$. Then for every $z \in A_m^k$ we have

$$d_m(x_m, u_m(z)) \le d_m(x_m, u_m(z_0)) + d_m(u_m(z_0), u_m(z)) \le k + 2k \operatorname{diam}(\Omega),$$

so the lemma follows. □

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \ge k_0$. Since X_m is injective there exists a $2k$-Lipschitz map $u_m^k \colon \overline{\Omega} \to X_m$ which agrees with u_m on A_m^k. We define for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ an increasing sequence of subsets $B_m^{k_0} \subset B_m^{k_0+1} \subset \cdots \subset X_m$ by

$$B_m^k := K_m \cup u_m^{k_0}(\overline{\Omega}) \cup \cdots \cup u_m^k(\overline{\Omega}).$$

For fixed $k \ge k_0$, the sequence of metric spaces (B_m^k, d_m) is uniformly compact by Lemma 3.3 and since u_m^j is $2j$-Lipschitz on the compact set $\overline{\Omega}$. Thus, by Proposition 3.2 there exists, after possibly passing to a subsequence, a complete metric space (Z, d_Z), isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X_m \hookrightarrow Z$, and compact subsets $Y^{k_0} \subset Y^{k_0+1} \subset \cdots \subset Z$ such that $\varphi_m(B_m^k) \subset Y^k$ for all m and $k \ge k_0$. In particular, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\varphi_m(K_m)$ is contained in the compact set $K := Y^{k_0}$. Moreover, the maps $v_m = \varphi_m \circ u_m$ belong to $W^{1,p}(\Omega, Z)$ and satisfy

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d_Z(z_0, v_m(z))^p \, dz + E_+^p(v_m) \right] < \infty \tag{3}$$

for some and thus every $z_0 \in Z$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a subsequence (v_{m_j}) which converges in $L^1(\Omega, Z)$ to some $v \in L^1(\Omega, Z)$.*

Proof. For given $k \ge k_0$, the map $v_m^k := \varphi_m \circ u_m^k$ is $2k$-Lipschitz and has image in the compact set Y^k for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and by a diagonal sequence argument, there exist integers $1 \le m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ such that, for every $k \ge k_0$, the sequence $(v_{m_j}^k)$ converges uniformly on Ω as $j \to \infty$. Lemma 3.5 below shows that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v_{m_l}(z))\, dz \le 2M \cdot k^{1-p} + \int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}^k(z), v_{m_l}^k(z))\, dz$$

for all $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \ge k_0$. Hence, the integral on the left-hand side converges to 0 as $j, l \to \infty$. This proves that (v_{m_j}) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\Omega, Z)$ and hence that v_{m_j} converges in $L^1(\Omega, Z)$ to some $v \in L^1(\Omega, Z)$. □

The following lemma was used in the proof above.

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $M > 0$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega d_Z(v_m(z), v_m^k(z))\, dz \le M \cdot k^{1-p} \tag{4}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \ge k_0$.

Proof. Let $z_0 \in Z$. By Lemma 3.3, the definition of v_m^k, and since $\varphi_m(K_m) \subset K$, there exists $M' > 0$ such that $d_Z(z_0, v_m^k(z)) \leq M' \cdot k$ for every $z \in \Omega$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq k_0$. This together with Hölder's inequality and (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega d_Z(v_m(z), v_m^k(z))\,dz &= \int_{\Omega\setminus A_m^k} d_Z(v_m(z), v_m^k(z))\,dz \\ &\leq M' \cdot k \cdot \left|\Omega \setminus A_m^k\right| + \int_{\Omega\setminus A_m^k} d_Z(z_0, v_m(z))\,dz \\ &\leq M'' \cdot k^{1-p} + \left|\Omega \setminus A_m^k\right|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_\Omega d_Z(z_0, v_m(z))^p\,dz\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M''' \cdot k^{1-p}\end{aligned}$$

for some constants M'' and M''' which do not depend on m and k. □

Lemma 3.6. *For every $q < p^*$ the maps v_{m_j} and v belong to $L^q(\Omega, Z)$ and the sequence (v_{m_j}) converges to v in $L^q(\Omega, Z)$.*

Proof. Fix $z_0 \in Z$ and let $q < \bar{q} < p^*$. By (3) and the classical Sobolev embedding theorem, the real-valued functions $z \mapsto d_Z(z_0, v_{m_j}(z))$ belong to $L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)$ and form a bounded sequence in $L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)$. Since a subsequence of (v_{m_j}) converges to v almost everywhere it follows with Fatou's lemma that $v \in L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega, Z)$ and hence

$$L := \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z))^{\bar{q}}\,dz\right] < \infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the set $F_\varepsilon^j := \{z \in \Omega : d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z)) > \varepsilon\}$ satisfies $|F_\varepsilon^j| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ because, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$|F_\varepsilon^j| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot \int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z))\,dz$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and because v_{m_j} converges to v in $L^1(\Omega, Z)$ by Lemma 3.4. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z))^q\,dz \leq \varepsilon^q \cdot |\Omega| + \int_{F_\varepsilon^j} d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z))^q\,dz \leq \varepsilon^q \cdot |\Omega| + L^{\frac{q}{\bar{q}}} \cdot |F_\varepsilon^j|^{1-\frac{q}{\bar{q}}}$$

and hence

$$\int_\Omega d_Z(v_{m_j}(z), v(z))^q\,dz \to 0$$

as $j \to \infty$. This shows that v_{m_j} converges to v in $L^q(\Omega, Z)$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 3.6 implies that v_{m_j} converges to v in $L^p(\Omega, Z)$. Since $E_+^p(v_{m_j})$ is uniformly bounded in j it thus follows from [11, Theorem 1.6.1] that $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, Z)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 1.5 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1. The proof of the latter moreover shows the following:

Remark 3.7. *The isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X \hookrightarrow Z$ in Theorem 1.5 can be chosen with the following additional property. Given compact sets $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots \subset X$ there exist compact sets $Y^1 \subset Y^2 \subset \cdots \subset Z$ such that $\varphi_m(C_k) \subset Y^k$ for all m and k.*

Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 3.1 one simply defines the subsets B_m^k by

$$B_m^k := C_k \cup u_m^{k_0}(\overline{\Omega}) \cup \cdots \cup u_m^k(\overline{\Omega})$$

for $k \geq k_0$ and sets $Y^k := Y^{k_0}$ for $k \leq k_0$. The rest of the proof remains unchanged.

4. Area and energy minimizers with prescribed Jordan boundary

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 as well as an analog for the energy, see Theorem 4.2 below. The strategy of proof of these theorems is the same as in the case of proper metric spaces, see [16, Theorems 7.1 and 7.6], but makes use of Theorem 3.1 instead of the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem for proper metric spaces.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and let X and Γ be as in the statement of the theorem.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ there exists $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ which is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal and satisfies* $\mathrm{Area}(u) \leq \mathrm{Area}(v)$.

Proof. Let $v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ and define $\Lambda_v := \{w \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X) : \mathrm{Area}(w) \leq \mathrm{Area}(v)\}$, which is not empty. Let $(v_m) \subset \Lambda_v$ be an energy minimizing sequence, thus

$$E_+^2(v_m) \to L := \inf\left\{E_+^2(w) : w \in \Lambda_v\right\}$$

as $m \to \infty$. Fix distinct points $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in S^1$ and distinct points $\bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_3 \in \Gamma$. After possibly precomposing each v_m with a conformal diffeomorphism of D we may assume that every v_m satisfies the 3-point condition $\mathrm{tr}(v_m)(p_i) = \bar{p}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By [16, Proposition 7.4], the family $\{\mathrm{tr}(v_m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-continuous. Thus, after possibly passing to a subsequence we may assume, by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, that $\mathrm{tr}(v_m)$ converges uniformly to a weakly monotone parametrization γ of Γ. Fix $x_0 \in \Gamma$. By [16, Lemma 4.11] we have

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\left[\int_D d(x_0, v_m(z))^2\, dz\right] < \infty.$$

We now apply our generalization of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem established in Section 3 to the sequence (v_m) in order to construct the desired map u. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, there exist, after possibly passing to a subsequence, a complete metric space (Z, d_Z), a compact subset $K \subset Z$, and isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X \hookrightarrow Z$ such that $\varphi_m(\Gamma) \subset K$ for all m and such that $w_m := \varphi_m \circ v_m$ converges to some $w \in W^{1,2}(D, Z)$ in $L^2(D, Z)$. After possibly passing to a further subsequence, we may assume that $\varphi_m|_\Gamma$ converges uniformly to an isometric embedding $\varphi \colon \Gamma \hookrightarrow Z$ and that w_m converges to w almost everywhere. Let $N \subset D$ be a subset of Lebesgue measure zero and such that $w_m(z) \to w(z)$ for all $z \in D \setminus N$.

Let X_ω be an ultra-completion of X such that X admits a 1-Lipschitz retraction $P \colon X_\omega \to X$. Define a subset $B \subset Z$ by

$$B := \{w(z) : z \in D \setminus N\} \cup \{\varphi(x) : x \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then the map $\psi \colon B \to X_\omega$, given by $\psi(w(z)) = [(v_m(z))]$ when $z \in D \setminus N$ and by $\psi(\varphi(x)) = [(x)]$ when $x \in \Gamma$, is well-defined and an isometric embedding by Lemma 2.2. Since X_ω is complete, the map ψ extends to an isometric map on $\overline{B}$. After redefining w on a set of measure zero, we may assume that w has image in $\overline{B}$ and so w is an element of $W^{1,2}(D, \overline{B})$. Thus, the map $u := P \circ \psi \circ w$ belongs to $W^{1,2}(D, X)$.

We now show that $u \in \Lambda_v$. Indeed, $\mathrm{tr}(w_m) = \varphi_m \circ \mathrm{tr}(v_m)$ and a subsequence of $(\mathrm{tr}(w_m))$ converges almost everywhere to $\mathrm{tr}(w)$ by [11, Theorem 1.12.2]. It follows that $\mathrm{tr}(w) = \varphi \circ \gamma$ and thus

$$\mathrm{tr}(u) = P \circ \psi \circ \mathrm{tr}(w) = P \circ \psi \circ \varphi \circ \gamma = \gamma.$$

This shows that $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$. The lower semi-continuity of area and energy, see [16, Corollaries 5.7 and 5.8], furthermore imply that

$$\mathrm{Area}(u) \le \mathrm{Area}(w) \le \liminf_{m\to\infty} \mathrm{Area}(v_m) \le \mathrm{Area}(v)$$

and similarly $E^2_+(u) \le E^2_+(w) \le L$. It follows that $u \in \Lambda_v$ and hence $E^2_+(u) = L$.

Finally, since for every biLipschitz homeomorphism $\varrho\colon \overline{D} \to \overline{D}$, we have $u \circ \varrho \in \Lambda_v$ and thus $E^2_+(u) = L \le E^2_+(u \circ \varrho)$ it follows from [16, Theorem 6.1] that u is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $(v_m) \subset \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ be an area minimizing sequence, that is, $\mathrm{Area}(v_m) \to L$ as $m \to \infty$, where

$$L := \inf\{\mathrm{Area}(v) : v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, there exists for each m some $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal map $u_m \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ with $\mathrm{Area}(u_m) \le \mathrm{Area}(v_m)$. In particular, (u_m) is still an area minimizing sequence in $\Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ and moreover satisfies $E^2_+(u_m) \le 2 \cdot \mathrm{Area}(u_m)$ because u_m is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal. Thus, the sequence (u_m) has uniformly bounded energy. Arguing exactly as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we obtain the existence of $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Area}(u) \le \lim_{m\to\infty} \mathrm{Area}(u_m) = L$$

and hence u is an area minimizer in $\Lambda(\Gamma, X)$. By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that u is moreover $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Exactly as the corresponding result in [16], Theorem 1.2 above holds with the parametrized Hausdorff area $\mathrm{Area}(u)$ replaced by the parametrized area induced by any quasi-convex definition of area in the sense of convex geometry.

The arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 yield the following result which generalizes [16, Theorem 7.6] from the setting of proper metric spaces to spaces which are 1-complemented in some ultra-completion.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a complete metric space and Γ a Jordan curve in X such that $\Lambda(\Gamma, X) \neq \emptyset$. If X is 1-complemented in some ultra-completion of X then there exists $u \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)$ such that*

$$E^2_+(u) = \inf\left\{E^2_+(v) : v \in \Lambda(\Gamma, X)\right\}.$$

Every such u is $\sqrt{2}$-quasiconformal.

The theorem furthermore holds with E^2_+ replaced by the Korevaar-Schoen Dirichlet energy E^2 from [11] and the constant $\sqrt{2}$ replaced by $2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}$. This follows as above but uses [16, Theorem 6.8] instead of [16, Theorem 6.1].

5. Dirichlet's problem

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.4, which is similar to that of Theorem 1.2.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded Lipschitz domain with $n \geq 2$. Let $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ for some $p > 1$ and let $(u_m) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ be an E^p_+-energy minimizing sequence subject to the condition $\mathrm{tr}(u_m) = \mathrm{tr}(w)$.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d(x_0, u_m(z))^p \, dz \right] < \infty$$

for some and thus every $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. By [11, Theorem 1.12.2 and Corollary 1.6.3] the function

$$h_m(z) := d(w(z), u_m(z))$$

is in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\sup_m \|\nabla h_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty$. Thus, by the classical Sobolev embedding theorem, the sequence (h_m) is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$. Since $w \in L^p(\Omega, X)$ the lemma follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let X, Ω, w and (u_m) be as above. Since $\mathrm{tr}(w)$ is measurable and essentially separably valued it follows from Lusin's theorem that there exist compact sets $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset \partial\Omega$ such that the restriction $\mathrm{tr}(w)|_{A_k}$ is continuous for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial\Omega \setminus A_k) \to 0$. Thus, the sets $C_k := \mathrm{tr}(w)(A_k)$ are compact and satisfy $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots \subset X$. By Lemma 5.1 the sequence (u_m) satisfies

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_\Omega d(x_0, u_m(z))^p \, dz + E^p_+(u_m) \right] < \infty$$

for some and thus every $x_0 \in X$. Hence, Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.7 show that, after possibly passing to a subsequence, there exist a complete metric space (Z, d_Z), compact subsets $Y^1 \subset Y^2 \subset \cdots \subset Z$, isometric embeddings $\varphi_m \colon X \hookrightarrow Z$ and $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, Z)$ such that $\varphi_m(C_k) \subset Y^k$ for all m and k and $v_m := \varphi_m \circ u_m$ converges in $L^p(\Omega, Z)$ to v as $m \to \infty$. Set $C := \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k$. After passing to a further subsequence we may assume that v_m converges to v almost everywhere on Ω and that $\varphi_m|_C$ converges pointwise to an isometric embedding $\varphi \colon C \hookrightarrow Z$, the convergence being uniform on each C_k. Let $N \subset \Omega$ be a set of Lebesgue measure zero such that $v_m(z)$ converges to $v(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega \setminus N$.

Let X_ω be an ultra-completion of X such that X admits a 1-Lipschitz retraction $P \colon X_\omega \to X$. Define a subset of Z by $B := \{v(z) : z \in \Omega \setminus N\} \cup \varphi(C)$. The map $\psi \colon B \to X_\omega$, given by $\psi(v(z)) = [(u_m(z))]$ when $z \in \Omega \setminus N$ and by $\psi(\varphi(x)) = [(x)]$ when $x \in C$, is well-defined and an isometric embedding by Lemma 2.2. Since X_ω is complete there exists a unique extension of ψ to $\overline{B}$, which we denote again by ψ. After possibly redefining the map v on N, we may assume that v has image in $\overline{B}$ and hence v is an element of $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \overline{B})$. Now, define a map by $u := P \circ \psi \circ v$ and notice that u is in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, X)$ and satisfies

$$E^p_+(u) \leq E^p_+(v) \leq \lim_{m\to\infty} E^p_+(u_m)$$

by the lower semi-continuity of the energy, see e.g. [16, Corollary 5.7]. Moreover, $\varphi_m \circ \mathrm{tr}(w)$ converges to $\varphi \circ \mathrm{tr}(w)$ almost everywhere and a subsequence of $\mathrm{tr}(v_m)$

converges to $\mathrm{tr}(v)$ almost everywhere by [11, Theorem 1.12.2]. It thus follows that $\mathrm{tr}(v) = \varphi \circ \mathrm{tr}(w)$ and hence

$$\mathrm{tr}(u) = P \circ \psi \circ \mathrm{tr}(v) = P \circ \psi \circ \varphi \circ \mathrm{tr}(w) = \mathrm{tr}(w).$$

This completes the proof. □

As already mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1.4 holds with the Reshetnyak energy replaced by the Korevaar-Schoen Dirichlet energy E^p introduced in [11]. For this, notice that E^p_+ and E^p are comparable and E^p is also lower semicontinuous, see [11] and [16].

References

[1] Luigi Ambrosio. Metric space valued functions of bounded variation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 17(3):439–478, 1990.

[2] Luigi Ambrosio and Paolo Tilli. *Topics on analysis in metric spaces*, volume 25 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[3] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[4] Ulrich Dierkes, Stefan Hildebrandt, and Friedrich Sauvigny. *Minimal surfaces*, volume 339 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, second edition, 2010. With assistance and contributions by A. Küster and R. Jakob.

[5] Jesse Douglas. Solution of the problem of Plateau. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 33(1):263–321, 1931.

[6] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

[7] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner. *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, volume 1547 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[8] Juha Heinonen, Pekka Koskela, Nageswari Shanmugalingam, and Jeremy Tyson. *Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces*, volume 27 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[9] M. B. Karmanova. Area and co-area formulas for mappings of the Sobolev classes with values in a metric space. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 48(4):778–788, 2007.

[10] Bernd Kirchheim. Rectifiable metric spaces: local structure and regularity of the Hausdorff measure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121(1):113–123, 1994.

[11] Nicholas J. Korevaar and Richard M. Schoen. Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps for metric space targets. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 1(3-4):561–659, 1993.

[12] Urs Lang. Injective hulls of certain discrete metric spaces and groups. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 5(3):297–331, 2013.

[13] Alexander Lytchak and Stefan Wenger. Canonical parametrizations of metric discs. *preprint arXiv:1701.06346*, 2017.

[14] Alexander Lytchak and Stefan Wenger. Isoperimetric characterization of upper curvature bounds. *preprint arXiv:1611.05261*, 2016.

[15] Alexander Lytchak and Stefan Wenger. Regularity of harmonic discs in spaces with quadratic isoperimetric inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(4):55:98, 2016.

[16] Alexander Lytchak and Stefan Wenger. Area Minimizing Discs in Metric Spaces. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 223(3):1123–1182, 2017.

[17] Alexander Lytchak, Stefan Wenger, and Robert Young. Dehn functions and Hölder extensions in asymptotic cones. *preprint arXiv:1608.00082*, 2016.

[18] Chikako Mese and Patrick R. Zulkowski. The Plateau problem in Alexandrov spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 85(2):315–356, 2010.

[19] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. The problem of Plateau on a Riemannian manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 49:807–851, 1948.

[20] I. G. Nikolaev. Solution of the Plateau problem in spaces of curvature at most K. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 20(2):345–353, 459, 1979.

[21] Patrick Overath and Heiko von der Mosel. Plateau's problem in Finsler 3-space. *Manuscripta Math.*, 143(3-4):273–316, 2014.
[22] Tibor Radó. On Plateau's problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 31(3):457–469, 1930.
[23] Yu. G. Reshetnyak. Sobolev classes of functions with values in a metric space. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 38(3):657–675, iii–iv, 1997.
[24] Stefan Wenger. Compactness for manifolds and integral currents with bounded diameter and volume. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 40(3-4):423–448, 2011.

Department of Mathematics, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 23, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland
E-mail address: changyu.guo@unifr.ch

Department of Mathematics, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 23, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland
E-mail address: stefan.wenger@unifr.ch